

**Question for oral answer O-000030/2020
to the Commission**

Rule 136

Sandra Pereira, João Ferreira, Andris Ameriks, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Radan Kanev, Gabriel Mato, Inma Rodríguez-Piñero, Fernando Barrena Arza, François Alfonsi, Niyazi Kizilyürek, Robert Hajšel, François-Xavier Bellamy, Marisa Matias, José Gusmão, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar, Álvaro Amaro, Nuno Melo, Maria da Graça Carvalho, Sara Cerdas, Sira Rego, Manu Pineda, Giorgos Georgiou, Tudor Ciuhodaru, Nora Mebarek, Viktor Uspaskich, Miguel Urbán Crespo, Eugenia Rodríguez Palop, Leila Chaibi, Clare Daly, Younous Omarjee, Isabel Carvalhais, Aurore Lalucq, Stéphane Bijoux, Franc Bogovič, Idoia Villanueva Ruiz, Eric Andrieu, Clara Aguilera, David Cormand, Nathalie Colin-Oesterlé, Marie Toussaint, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Corina Crețu, Mick Wallace, Irène Tolleret, Francisco Guerreiro, Monika Beňová

Subject: Planned POSEI budget cuts

In its proposal for a Regulation on the common agricultural policy (CAP) for the 2021-2027 period (COM (2018)0394), the Commission proposes a 3.9 % cut in the budget allocated to POSEI, which provides support for outermost regions facing specific challenges such as remoteness, insularity or a difficult climate.

The EU's outermost regions (ORs) face obvious specific constraints: distance, dispersion and limited and dependent markets. These constraints require positive policies and investments that can counter trends of economic, social and demographic depression. EU structural funds dedicated to the development of the ORs empower the productive sector in these regions, increase the scope and quality of public services, improve their connectivity through accessible transport, and protect their ecosystems and traditional human activities. They are, thus, essential for combating the different levels of isolation which these regions face.

This cut to the POSEI budget, in addition to the proposed cuts to the CAP and the cohesion funds, is objectively contrary to the needs of OR economies, and to their sustainability. It is contrary to the strategic development that these regions need.

Regardless of its original proposal, the current and previous Commission have published several communications stating its willingness to withdraw this proposal, and maintain the current level of POSEI funding in the next multiannual financial framework and during the transition period. Parliament and several Member States have also expressed their opposition to these cuts.

1. How does the Commission evaluate the current impact of POSEI on the economy of ORs?
2. Given the persistence of significant structural constraints still facing OR economies, is the Commission willing to maintain or increase the POSEI allocation for the post-2020 period both in the 2021 transition regulation and the subsequent regulation covering the period to 2027? Is the Commission considering the possibility of reinforcing and broadening the scope of the POSEI scheme?

Submitted: 06/03/2020

Lapses: 07/06/2020